Application No.: 10/586,830

Amendment/Response Dated June 10, 2009 Reply to Office Action of May 26, 2009

## **Amendments to the Specification:**

Please replace the paragraph [0055], beginning at page 17, line 22, with the following rewritten paragraph:

An incident end spot  $\operatorname{radius} \oplus \operatorname{radius} \otimes_1$  inside the GI-type photonic crystal slab of an incident end 9 is equal to or less than a maximum value  $\otimes_{2\text{max}}$  of a beam spot radius  $\otimes_2$  inside the GI-type photonic crystal slab. However, they match in the case where a curvature radius of a wave front of a beam at the incident end is infinite (the case mainly considered hereafter), which case is shown in the drawings (same hereafter).

Please replace the paragraph [0091], beginning at page 24, line 14, with the following rewritten paragraph:

In this formula, A and B relate to a lens effect of the GRIN lens and constraint of the beam configured by space propagation of the holes while  $\lambda/\omega_1$  relates to diffusion of the beam due to the diffraction effect. Therefore, the first term of the right-hand side of the formula 7 contributes to components of  $\omega_1$  determined geometric-optically with no consideration of wave nature of the light and  $\omega_2$  calculated from R<sub>1</sub>. The second term thereof contributes to the component of  $\omega_2$  resulting from the diffraction determined wave-optically with consideration of the wave nature of the light being suppressed by the lens effect represented by 13by B.